

### **For A Confidence Interval Of A Population Mean**

We will construct a \_\_\_% confidence interval for  $\mu$ , the mean \_\_\_\_\_.

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n < 30$ , check the plots to verify whether  $X$ , seems to follow a normal distribution.

If  $n > 30$ , the CLT states the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is approximately normal.

Assume that  $n < 1/10 N$ .

The TI-84 T-Interval is (\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_).

We are \_\_\_% confident that the mean \_\_\_\_\_ is in this interval.

### **For A Test Of A Population Mean**

We will test whether  $\mu$ , the mean \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from) \_\_\_\_\_ ( $\mu_0$ ).

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ vs } H_A: \mu >, <, \neq \mu_0$$

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n < 30$ , check the plots to verify whether  $X$ , seems to follow a normal distribution.

If  $n > 30$ , the CLT states the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is approximately normal.

Assume that  $n < 1/10 N$ .

The TI-84 T-Test gives  $t =$  \_\_\_\_,  $df =$  \_\_\_\_,  $p\text{-value} =$  \_\_\_\_\_

This (low or high) p-value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is we (do do not) have evidence against the claim that the mean \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from) \_\_\_\_\_ ( $\mu_0$ ).

### **For A Confidence Interval Of A Difference Of Population Means**

We will construct a \_\_\_% confidence interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ , the difference between  $\mu_1$ , the mean \_\_\_\_\_, and  $\mu_2$ , the mean \_\_\_\_\_.

We assume that our samples are independent SRS's. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n_1 + n_2 < 30$ , check the plots of both samples to verify whether  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , seem to follow a normal distribution.

If  $n_1 + n_2 > 30$ , the CLT states the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2$  is approximately normal.

Assume that  $n_1$  and  $n_2 < 1/10 N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively.

The TI-84 2-SampT-Interval is (\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_).

We are \_\_\_% confident that the difference between the mean \_\_\_\_\_ and the mean \_\_\_\_\_ is in this interval.

### **For A Test Of A Difference Of Population Means**

We will test whether  $\mu_1$ , the mean \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from)  $\mu_2$ , the mean \_\_\_\_\_.

We assume that our samples are independent SRS's. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n_1 + n_2 < 30$ , check the plots of both samples to verify whether  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , seem to follow a normal distribution.

If  $n_1 + n_2 > 30$ , the CLT states the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2$  is approximately normal.

Assume that  $n_1$  and  $n_2 < 1/10 N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively.

The TI-84 2-SampT-Test is gives  $t =$  \_\_\_\_,  $df =$  \_\_\_\_,  $p$ -value = \_\_\_\_

This (low or high)  $p$ -value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is is we (do do not) have evidence against the claim that the mean \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from) the mean \_\_\_\_\_.

### **For A Confidence Interval Of A Population Proportion**

We will construct a \_\_\_\_% confidence interval for  $p$ , the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_.

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n\hat{p}$  and  $n\hat{q} > 10$ , the CLT states the sampling distribution of  $\hat{p}$  is approximately normal.

Assume that  $n < 1/10 N$ .

The TI-84 1-PropZ-Interval is (\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_).

We are \_\_\_\_% confident that the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ is in this interval.

### **For A Test Of A Population Proportion**

We will test whether  $p$ , the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from) \_\_\_\_\_ ( $p_0$ ).

$$H_0: p = p_0 \text{ vs } H_A: p >, <, \neq p_0$$

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n\hat{p}$  and  $n\hat{q} > 10$ , the CLT states the sampling distribution of  $\hat{p}$  is approximately normal.

Assume that  $n < 1/10 N$ .

The TI-84 1-PropZTest gives  $z =$  \_\_\_\_,  $p$ -value = \_\_\_\_

This (low or high)  $p$ -value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is is we (do do not) have evidence against the claim that the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from) \_\_\_\_\_.

### **For A Confidence Interval Of A Difference Population Proportions**

We will construct a \_\_\_% confidence interval for  $p_1 - p_2$ , the difference between  $p_1$ , the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_, and  $p_2$ , the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_.

We assume that our samples are independent SRS's. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n_1 \hat{p}_1, n_1 \hat{q}_1, n_2 \hat{p}_2, n_2 \hat{q}_2, > 5$  the CLT states that the sampling distribution of  $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$  is approximately normal

Assume that  $n_1$  and  $n_2 < 1/10 N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively .

The TI-84 2-Prop Z Interval is (\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_).

We are \_\_\_% confident that the difference between the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ and the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ is in this interval.

### **For A Test Of A Difference Of Population Proportions**

We will test whether  $p_1$ , the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from)  $p_2$ , the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_.

We assume that our samples are independent SRS's. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

If  $n_1 \hat{p}_1, n_1 \hat{q}_1, n_2 \hat{p}_2, n_2 \hat{q}_2, > 5$  the CLT states that the sampling distribution of  $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$  is approximately normal

Assume that  $n_1$  and  $n_2 < 1/10 N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively .

The TI-84 2-PropZTest is gives  $z =$  \_\_\_\_,  $p\text{-value} =$  \_\_\_\_

This (low or high) p-value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is we (do do not) have evidence against the claim that the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_ is (greater than, less than, different from) the proportion of \_\_\_\_\_.

### **The Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test**

We will test whether the proposed distribution of proportions is a good fit for our data.

$H_0$ :  $p_1 =$  \_\_\_\_,  $p_2 =$  \_\_\_\_, ...

$H_A$ : At least one of these proportions is different

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

Verify that most all expected counts  $> 5$

$X^2 =$  \_\_\_\_,  $df =$  \_\_\_\_,  $p\text{-value} =$  \_\_\_\_

This (low or high) p-value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is we (do do not) have significant evidence that the proportions are as indicated above.

### **The Chi-Square Test of Homogeneity (of Populations)**

We will test whether the proportions of \_\_\_\_\_ are the same for each population of \_\_\_\_\_.

$$H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \dots$$

$H_A$ : These proportions are not all the same

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

Verify that most all expected counts  $> 5$

The TI-84  $\chi^2$  test gives:  $\chi^2 = \underline{\quad}$ ,  $df = \underline{\quad}$ ,  $p\text{-value} = \underline{\quad}$

This (low or high) p-value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is we (do do not) have significant evidence that the proportions of \_\_\_\_\_ are the same for each population of \_\_\_\_\_.

### **The Chi-Square Test of Association (or Independence) (of Variables)**

We will test whether there is an association between “(Variable 1)” and “(Variable 2)”.

$H_0$ : There is no association between '\_\_\_\_' and '\_\_\_\_' (or '\_\_\_\_' and '\_\_\_\_' are independent.)

$H_A$ : There is an association (Or the variables are dependent.)

We assume that our sample is an SRS. (Or that subjects were randomly assigned to treatment.)

Verify that most all expected counts  $> 5$

The TI-84  $\chi^2$  test gives:  $\chi^2 = \underline{\quad}$ ,  $df = \underline{\quad}$ ,  $p\text{-value} = \underline{\quad}$

This (low or high) p-value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is we (do do not) have significant evidence that there is an association between “\_\_\_\_” and “\_\_\_\_”.

### **For a Confidence Interval of the Slope of a “True” Regression Line**

We will construct a \_\_\_\_% confidence interval for  $\beta$ , the slope of the true regression line that relates  $y$  (response variable) to  $x$  (explanatory variable).

We assume that for fixed values of  $x$  (explanatory variable) the independently sampled residuals are normally distributed about  $\mu_{\hat{y}}$  and that the variance about  $\mu_{\hat{y}}$  is the same for all values of (explanatory variable).

If the regression model is  $\hat{y} = a + bx$

The \_\_\_\_% C.I. is  $b \pm t^*(n-2)_{df} (\text{S.E.}_b)$

We are \_\_\_\_% confident that the slope of the line relating  $x$  to  $y$  is in this interval.

**For a Test of a Slope of a Regression Line (or Test of Linear Association Between Two Numerical Variables)**

We will test whether  $\beta$ , the slope of the line relating  $x$  (explanatory) to  $y$  (response) is (greater than, less than, different from) \_\_\_\_\_ ( $\beta_0$ ).

$$H_0: \beta = \beta_0 \text{ vs } H_A: \beta >, <, \neq \beta_0$$

Check the same conditions as in the Confidence Interval for Slope

The TI-84 LinReg T-Test gives:  $t = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ ,  $p\text{-value} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .

This (low or high)  $p$ -value (indicates or does not indicate) evidence against  $H_0$ . That is is we (do do not) have evidence against the claim that the slope of the line relating  $x$  (explanatory) to  $y$  (response) is (greater than, less than, different from) \_\_\_\_\_ ( $\beta_0$ ).

Alternative conclusion (When  $\beta_0 = 0$ ): That is is we (do do not) have evidence against the claim that  $x$  (explanatory) and  $y$  (response) are independent.